# ASSESSMENT OF TRANS-BOUNDARY EFFECTS AT LBr-1 CO<sub>2</sub> STORAGE PILOT SITE AND REGULATORY SOLUTIONS

Vít Hladík, Juraj Franců, Vladimír Kolejka, Miroslav Pereszlényi (Czech Geological Survey), Lars Kollbotn, Eric P. Ford (NORCE), Michal Jankulár (SGIDS), Mariëlle Koenen (TNO)





#### Outline

Introduction

Brief geological outline of the storage complex

Legislation and regulatory issues

Definition of storage complex

Trans-boundary issues

- Possible leakage through boreholes
- Spill points

Conclusions



#### Introduction

National transpositions of the EU CCS Directive do not fully address trans-boundary issues

Case study – LBr-1 site located close to the Czech-Slovak border

Main objective – evaluate any trans-boundary issues that might arise from  $CO_2$  storage at LBr-1, identify difficult-to-handle aspects and suggest solutions



Location of LBr-1 and satellite image with reservoir area



# Brief geological description of the storage complex

Storage structure = originally hydrocarbon-bearing reservoir horizon (Láb horizon), comprises the Middle-Badenian (Serravallian/Langhian - Middle Miocene) sands; 4 partial layers, thickness up to 30 m; depth ~ 1.000 m

Caprock – Middle-Badenian shales

Combination of a lithological and tectonic trap; pinch out at the East/North-East edge of the field, still on the territory of the Czech Republic; faults of the Brodsky fault system confine the field in the South / South-East





# LBr-1 field history

Discovered in 1957

Main production in 1959 -1969; occasional production till 2001

Total cumulative production 61,900 m<sup>3</sup> of oil and 68.7 mil. m<sup>3</sup> of gas

Now completely abandoned Possibly good candidate for a  $CO_2$  storage pilot





## Legislation and regulatory issues

Comparison of legislative and regulatory framework in CZ / SK

Several barriers identified in both countries – missing implementing regulations, unclear transfer from producing field to  $CO_2$  storage

Hostile regulatory environment in  $SK - CO_2$ storage has the lowest priority among all subsurface uses

Implication – exploration for CO2 storage sites is forbidden on the Slovak side of the border  $\rightarrow$  the storage site MUST NOT BE TRANS-BOUNDARY





# **Definition of storage complex**

EU CCS Directive: Storage complex = the storage site and surrounding geological domain which can have an effect on overall storage integrity and security; that is, secondary containment formations.

The storage site itself means a defined volume area within a geological formation used for the geological storage of CO2 and associated surface and injection facilities



Schematic representation of the Storage Site, Storage Complex and Leakage as defined by the EU CCS Directive (adopted from ICF International, 2010)



# **Definition of storage complex**

Guidance Document 2: The storage complex includes:

- immediate surface and sub-surface facilities at the storage site;

- only the targeted seal and reservoir, where the CO2 is physically injected into and is expected to migrate and be stored, i.e. the geological formations which comprise the physically invaded rock volume from the CO2 plume migration;

- secondary seal and reservoir that may contain the CO2, in case the CO2 plume migrates beyond the primary seal.





#### **Plume extension**

Plume extension approximately defines the 'physically invaded rock volume' (= lateral extent of the storage complex).





## **Plume extension**



Main results: - the storage complex is entirely located on the Czech Rep. territory - full-scale storage scenario is limited by Northern spill-point



Possible trans-boundary issues assessed:

- Pressure footprint
- Possible leakage along faults
- Possible leakage through wells
- Migration of fluids due to exceeding spill points

31 wells at LBr-1; 25 penetrating the reservoir

Abandoned in 1957-2004, six wells re-abandoned in 2012-2015

Comparison of the well abandonment status (based on archive data) with the currently valid Czech legislation – traffic lights used to visualize status.





Assessment results:

- 56 % of wells compliant with current regulation
- 4 % with minor deficiencies
- 40 % with significant deficiencies

Issues of historical abandonment procedures:

- improper cementing of perforation intervals
- insufficient length of cement plugs









Possible migration pathways

Leaked CO<sub>2</sub> would migrate in the territory of Slovakia due the dip of the layers and juxtaposition of layers at faults





## **Trans-boundary issues – spill points**

Southern spill point - the upper part of the Lab horizon is obviously bound by the pinch-out boundary.

The lowermost horizon is terminated by the Brodske fault in its deeper, aquifer part. No well has been drilled on the other side of the fault  $\rightarrow$  uncertainty concerning the fault sealing role.

Analogue position at neighbouring field indicates possible fluid flow through the fault eastwards.

Conclusion: Leakage through wells and along Southern spill point would represent an important trans-boundary issue.





## Conclusions

Regulatory barriers identified in both CZ and SK (e.g. incomplete regulatory framework); legislation and regulations must be adjusted in both countries to enable  $CO_2$  storage.

Hostile legal environment in SK  $\rightarrow$  the storage site must not be trans-boundary.

Detailed analysis of the extent of the storage complex at LBr-1  $\rightarrow$  storage site and storage complex are located entirely on CZ territory.

Possible  $CO_2$  leakage through wells and along Southern spill point represent transboundary issues –  $CO_2$  would migrate to Slovak territory.

Cooperation of regulatory authorities from both CZ and SK will be necessary to prepare and operate the storage site - risk assessment, monitoring and possible leakage mitigation measures are trans-boundary affairs  $\rightarrow$  significant complicating factor for possible injection of CO<sub>2</sub> at LBr-1.

CO2 storage at LBr-1 still considered viable, especially in the basic pilot storage scenario (limited leakage risk). Trans-boundary issues  $\rightarrow$  lower priority of the site  $\rightarrow$  another site selected as No.1 candidate for the national storage pilot.



## New initiative – CO2-SPICER

New Czech-Norwegian research project, 3.5 years duration (2020-2024)

Main objective = assess a hydrocarbon field under operation (Zar-3) as a future pilot  $CO_2$ storage site and prepare it to implementationready stage.

Location – Southern Moravia (SE Czech Republic); oil province – SE slopes of the Bohemian Massiff; geology – erosional carbonate relic on the slope of a paleocanyon.

Field operator (MND) on board – member of project consortium; all existing data will be available



#### Acknowledgements



Presented results were achieved within the REPP-CO2 and ENOS projects. **REPP-CO2** was supported by a grant from Norway within the CZ08 Programme of Norway Grants 2009-2014.

**ENOS** has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 653718.

The results were prepared for publication within the CO2-SPICER project that benefits from a  $\in$  2.32 mil. grant from Norway and Technology Agency of the Czech Republic.

MND a.s., Palivový kombinát Ústí, s.p. and NAFTA a.s. are gratefully acknowledged for provision of site data for the purposes of this study.

